IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN DIVISION

:

JOHN MURPHY,

CIVIL ACTION NO:

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEPTFORD TOWNSHIP POLICE

DEPARTMENT; SGT. MAUREEN V.

BARNEY; SGT. DAVID H. WENTZ;

SGT. RUDY RUIZ, and OTHER YET-AS
UNKNOWN, UNIDENTIFIED OR

MISIDENTIFIED OFFICERS OF THE

DEPTFORD TOWNSHIP POLICE

DEPARTMENT, JOHN DOES 1-10,

COMPLAINT
JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
AS TO ALL COUNTS

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, JOHN MURPHY, by and through his attorney, Michael Rakoski, Esquire, of the law firm of Rakoski & Associates, LLC., hereby states and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking to redress the deprivation, under color of law, of Plaintiff's rights as secured by the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.
 - 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367.
 - 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 4. The parties reside in this judicial district, and the events giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this jurisdiction as well.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, John Murphy is a 58-year-old man and resident of Deptford Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey.
- 6. Plaintiff's personal residence is at 1042 Madison Drive, Township of Deptford, County of Gloucester, and State of New Jersey (the "Home").
- 7. Defendant, the Deptford Township Police Department ("DTPD") is a municipal entity located at 1011 Cooper Street, Township of Deptford, County of Gloucester and State of New Jersey which employs or employed the Defendant officers.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Sgt. Maureen V. Barney ("Sgt. Barney"), Sgt. David Wentz ("Sgt. Wentz"), and Sgt. Rudy Ruiz ("Sgt. Ruiz") (collectively Sgt. Barney, Sgt. Wentz, and Sgt. Ruiz may hereinafter be referred to as the "Officers") were duly appointed officers with the DTPD.
- 9. The Officers are being sued in their individual capacities, and all acted under color of law and in the scope and course of their employment while engaging in the actions alleged in this Complaint.
- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants John Does 1-10 were agents and/or employees of the Deptford Township Police Department ("DTPD") and as such were acting within the scope and course of their employment and agency and with knowledge and consent and at the direction of the DTPD and as such all acted under the color of law in so doing. Should discovery reveal the identity of any additional individuals, the Complaint shall be amended.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

11. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.

- 12. On or about September 15, 2018, the DTPD received an anonymous tip (the "Tip") that an individual at the Home posted a photograph on Facebook of himself holding a gun and was distraught due to the recent death of his wife. The pictures were posted without any comment regarding threat to himself or others.
 - 13. The Officers responded to the Tip at the Home which is owned by Plaintiff.
 - 14. The Officers proceeded to knock loudly on the door to the Home.
- 15. Plaintiff opened the door, at which time the Officers grabbed and pulled the Plaintiff from the Home without comment forcibly by his arms, whereupon the Officers rushed into the Home.
- 16. One of the Officers maintained the Plaintiff in the front-yard of the Home while other Officers searched the Home. Such search was conducted without a properly issued search warrant.
- 17. Upon his unlawful removal from his Property, the Officers inquired as to whether or not Plaintiff had any firearms at the Home.
- 18. Plaintiff responded in the affirmative that he had firearms properly owned and licensed.
- 19. Despite this admission, the Officers proceeded to search the Plaintiff's home.

 They did not advise what they were looking for.
- 20. At no time relevant to the facts hereto was Plaintiff ever presented with or shown a search warrant for the Home.
- 21. At no time was Plaintiff advised as to the reason for the removal of his person from the subsequent warrantless search of the Home by the Officers.
- 22. At no time relevant to the facts hereto did Defendants obtain a search warrant to conduct a search of the Home.

- 23. In the course of the search for weapons already acknowledged to be present and duly registered by the Plaintiff, the officers located the Plaintiff's cell phone and opened and searched its contents without asking the Plaintiff for permission to do so. Again there was no search warrant shown or issued with regard to the search of the personal belongings of the Plaintiff located within the Home.
- 24. Despite this unlawful and intrusive warrantless search, no items were seized from the Home by the Officers who performed the search.
- 25. In the course of the unlawful search a loaded revolver was located in plain view on a shelf between the rear family room and the kitchen and there was also a BB gun (a non-lethal weapon) leaning against the same shelf.
- As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and anguish, a deprivation of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' misconduct.
 - 27. Plaintiff was not subsequently arrested or charged with any crime.
- 28. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff suffered emotional stress and anguish, as a direct result and proximate cause of the Defendants', and each of them, misconduct.

COUNT I -42 U.S.C. § 1983

Unlawful Search and Seizure

- 29. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.
- 30. At all times relevant hereto, one or more of the Defendants, all while acting individually, jointly, under color of law, and within the scope of their employment violated the Plaintiff's rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the

Constitution of the State of New Jersey by entering and searching the Home without a search warrant and deprived Plaintiff of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

- 31. At all times relevant hereto, one or more of the Defendants, all while acting individually, jointly, under color of law, and within the scope of their employment did not have good cause and/or acted without a reasonable belief that probable cause existed to search the home and deprived Plaintiff of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.
- 32. At all times relevant hereto, one or more of the Defendants, all while acting individually, jointly, under color of law, and within the scope of their employment did not have exigent circumstances to justify the unlawful search of the Home and deprived Plaintiff of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.
- 33. The misconduct described herein was done maliciously and was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally with willful indifference to Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.
- 34. As a result of these violations, Plaintiff suffered injuries, including but not limited to, severe emotional distress and anguish and was deprived of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

COUNT II - STATE LAW CLAIM

Civil Conspiracy

- 35. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.
- 36. As described more fully in the preceding paragraphs, Defendants, acting in concert with other known and unknown co-conspirators, conspired by concerted action to accomplish an unlawful purpose by unlawful means.
- 37. In furtherance of the conspiracy, Defendants committed overt acts and were otherwise willful participants in joint activity described hereinabove.
- 38. The misconduct described in this Count was undertaken with malice, willfulness, and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conspiracy, Plaintiff suffered damages, including severe emotional distress and anguish and deprivation of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

COUNT III - STATE LAW CLAIM

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 40. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.
- 41. The acts and conduct of the Defendant Officers as set forth above were extreme, dangerous and outrageous. The Defendant Officers intended to cause or were in reckless disregard of the probability that their conduct would cause, severe emotional distress to Plaintiff, as more fully alleged above.
- 42. The misconduct described in this Count was undertaken with malice, willfulness, and reckless indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.

43. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful acts, Plaintiff suffered damages, including severe emotional distress and anguish, deprivation of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

COUNT IV – STATE LAW CLAIM

Respondent Superior

- 44. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.
- 45. In committing the acts alleged in the preceding paragraphs, each of the Officers were members of, and agents of, the DTPD acting at all relevant times within the scope of their employment and under color of law.
 - 46. Defendant, DTPD, is liable as principal for all torts committed by its agents.
- 47. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of the DTPD, Plaintiff suffered damages including severe emotional distress and anguish, and the deprivation of his rights under the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

COUNT V - STATE LAW CLAIM

Indemnification

- 48. Each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated herein as if restated fully herein.
- 49. New Jersey law provides that public entities are directed to pay any tort judgment for compensatory damages for which employees are liable within the scope of their employment activities.
- 50. The Officers are or were employees of the DTPD, who acted within the scope of their employment in committing the misconduct described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, John Murphy, demands the follow relief against the Defendants:

- A. The actions of the Defendants be declared unconstitutional;
- B. Compensatory damages for pain, suffering, stress, humiliation and mental anguish;
- C. Punitive damages;
- D. Attorney's fees, interest and costs of suit; and
- E. Any other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff, John Murphy, hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) as to all issues so triable.

CERTIFICATION

I, MICHAEL RAKOSKI, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

BY:

DATED: 9/2/20

Michael Rakoski, ESO.

RAKOSKI & ASSOCIATES, LLC

46 S. Maple Ave, 2nd Floor Marlton, New Jersey 08053

Attorney for Plaintiff

$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~06/17)}$ Case 1:20-cv-12228-RBK-KMW. Document 1 Filed 09/02/20 Page 9 of 9 PageID: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, exception of the papers as req

provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	t. This form, approved by locket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	the Judicial Conference on CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	f the Unit	tad Statas in Contambor 1	1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
John Murphy				Defice New Police Department; Sgt Maureen V. Barney; Sgt David H. Wentz; Sgt Rudy Ruiz; Other unknown or Misidentified Officers of the Deptford Twp Police Dept; John Does 1-10			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Gloucester (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Gloucester				
(EACEL I IN U.S. FEAUVIER CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)			
Michael Rakoski, Esq., Rakoski & Associates, LLC 46 S. Maple Ave, 2nd Fl, Marlton, NJ 08053 mrakoski@rakoskilaw.com; 856-988-0500				Unknown			
II. BASIS OF JURISD		One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
	U.S. Government 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)			
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State PTF DEF Citizen of This State D 1 D 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State				
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	- FO			of Suit Code Descriptions.	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act	
🗇 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	02.	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability	□ 690	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury	1		☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			330 Patent	☐ 450 Commerce	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability			□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud		LABOR O Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	10 /10	Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	 720	Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	740	Relations Railway Labor Act	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Family and Medical	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
□ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	ŀ	,	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
3 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	ALC:	IMMIGRATION		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Employment	Other:		Naturalization Application	1		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	□ 465	Other Immigration Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		rictions			
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of					
		Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		<u> </u>		L		
【 1 Original 🔲 2 Ren	noved from 3	Remanded from	4 Reins	tated or 🛛 5 Transfe	rred from 6 Multidistr	ict	
Proceeding Stat	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened Another	r District Litigation	- Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	atute under which you are	filing (D	(specify)		Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	1/2/11 8 7 8 1092		1111115 (2)	o noi ene jurisuienonui siun	utes untess utversity).		
	Brief description of ca	ause:	and Co	nstitution Rights res	ulting from unlawful sea	rch	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKERNA		
DATE A		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEVO	F RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER		
<u> </u>			mid	have Rako	nla		
OR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	